157-10014-10090

2022 RELEASE UNDER THE PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY ASSASSINATION RECORDS ACT OF 1992 FORMATION

ORIGINAL

Vol. 1 OF 3

Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Criminal Sanctions

The United States Senate

K1902

Report of Proceedings

Hearing held before

Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations With Respect to Intelligence Activities

Wednesday, November 12, 1975

Washington, D. C.

(Stenotype Tape and Waste turned over to the Committee for destruction)

WARD & PAUL

410 FIRST STREET, S. E. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20003

(202) 544-6000

SHELBURNE	:lynch		A
Phone (Area 202) 544-6000	1 2 5	Statement of: Bronson Tweedy	Page 2
A.			and districts over the second of the second
	4		
	5		
	6		Bibliote de décense (man
	7		
	8		
	9		Part of a designation of the
	10		er alles e fois
_1	11		Asymmetry, som som
WARD & PAUL	12		the state of the state of
WARD	13		7. Orașe nedistrum entitorio
	14		
	15		Access and the second s
	16		
	17		A representative and a represe
m	18		
2 20003	19		egene / John with a service of the s
ion, D.C	20		Andreas of the state of the sta
Washingt	21		
ю; пі	22		A del secondo de papido de la periorienza
410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003	23		Winds See 1 - College of Winds and
410 Fir	24		And the second s
	25		
		TOP SECRET	

SHELBUR	NE:lyn{	th some and the second
Phone (Area 202) 544-6000	1.	
a 202)		STAFF INTERVIEW
e (Are	2	MADE MINE ENTEY
Phor	3	Wednesday, November 12, 1975
	4	was and
	5	United States Senate,
	6	Select Committee to Study Governmental
	7	
	8	Operations with Respect to
	9	Intelligence Activities, Washington, D. C.
	10	The Staff met, pursuant to notice, at 10:50 o'clock a.m.,
	**************************************	
၌	11	in Room 610, Capitol Hill Hotel.
WARD & PAUL	12	Present: Susan Pitts and Elliot Maxwell, Professional
₩ ₩	13	Staff Members.
	14	**************************************
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
20003	19	
n, D.C.	20	
shingto	21	
.E., Wa	22	
treet, S		
410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003	23	
410	24	
	25	

#### STATEMENT OF BRONSON TWEEDY

Ms. Pitts. Would you please state your name and address for the record?

Mr. Tweedy. Bronson Tweedy, 6412 Garnett Drive, Chevy Chase, Maryland, 20015.

Ms. Pitts. Starting as early as 1959 apparently the first related mission directive for a Leopoldville station was written, And the jurisdiction of the station covered a lot more territory than just what was known as the Belgian Congo. And I am wondering if you remember at what point the jurisdiction of Leopoldville Station became restricted?

Mr. Tweedy. I think probably basically as we got coverage in the other areas, I imagine Brazzaville, probably, Which was of course the French Congo, was in that. We probably had a sort of a watching brief for Angola, but it really didn't go much beyond that in those days. We may have had a watching brief for the Central African Republic, but I can assure you the Central African Republic was very little more than a geographical expression then.

We already had people, as I remember, in Salisbury. And it certainly didn't cover South Africa. I imagine that is all it covered. It may have covered Cameroons.

Ms. Pitts. And it covered Luanda?

Mr. Tweedy. Luanda, of course, is Angola. Yes. But I think that is it.

7 8

110 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

(Off the record discussion.)

Mr. Tweedy. To complete the answer, that is there sort of for the record, but no one really expected the Chief of Station in Leopoldville would really be able as a practical matter to do very much about the other areas, nor at that stage was it really that important. But this was sort of an administrative device to try to keep the continent covered, is all.

Ms. Pitts. So the related mission directive which was a directive which concentrated on intelligence collection and trying to develop a field for if there was Communist influence and that kind of thing would have just been a very general kind of direction, wouldn't it?

Mr. Tweedy. What we were doing at that point is, We were developing our knowledge of Africa in the first instance. The Agency's representation in sub-Sahara and Africa had been very limited. And for the first time we, and I as the Division Chief, was faced with the problem of building a division, staffing as many stations as seemed sensible, and getting our feet on the ground in Africa, learning how to operate in Africa. And those aspects obviously had to be stated in terms of targets and priorities and the rest of it. If you want to look back on it realistically, what in effect we were saying was, get yourself at home in Africa and then come back here.

Combe back in about a year and tell us where you are.

Mr. Tweedy.

Division in 1959?

and that is what we did. In about a year we began to have
a feel for the area. And in the process we were reporting.
And a lot of the reporting was the sort of thing which was
giving us background. It wasn't that the President was
hanging on our every word. That really wasn't the state of the
art. But we had to learn what it was all about, how the
Africans ran their own affairs, what were they like. One
thing you couldn't do was generalize about Africa. If you were
in Kenya it was Kenya, and in Angola, it was Angola, and never
the twain shall meet. Those were the things we had to learn,
and we could only learn by doing it the hard way.

Ms. Pitts. In the 1950s Mr. Bissell has said that the emphasis on intelligence collection shifted from the Eastern European bloc to third world countries because the ability to collect intelligence was very limited in those areas, and it was easier to combat Communism or collect information on Communist influence in areas where Communism may just be starting. And he made this general comment about the shifting emphasis in the CIA's collection. Would you say that is fair?

Ms. Pitts. I am wondering how that might have been translated into something like the creation of the African

I think that is fair.

Mr. Tweedy. The things that created the African Division of course was the creation of modern Africa. That is what

really	created	the	African	Division.	Because	other	than	that
it was	a series	of	colonial	estates				

Ms. Pitts. And our relation would have been restricted to things like liaison an so on?

Mr. Tweedy. Very much so, exactly. But starting around about 1959 for the next couple of years Africa exploded into independence. In the National Geographic they gave up the struggle about halfway and didn't print a map for about eight months until things sort of settled down. And this was what caused the African Division to be formed.

Ms. pitts. A lot of that is given to President Kennedy's interest in Africa, and Africa as a campaign issue in the Kennedy campaign before his election in 1960?

Mr. Tweedy. Let's face it, the whole of the US was seized and intrigued by this African explosion.

Ms. Pitts. It seems as if the interest would have increased without Kennedy to me.

Mr. Tweedy. It would have increased without Kennedy. I think also Kennedy, and a lot of other people, wrongly, I think in the end, tied this to the black population of the US and the interest they would have in this -- which in fact was minimal. But that took a long time to really recognize. I think the general feeling was that there would be a subject of insistence on the part of the black population in the US that the US, in quotes, do something about Africa. It just

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

didn't eventuate.

Ms. Pitts. By the same token, in terms of the US having to do something about Africa, it seems as though another trend that was influencing policy-makers as a group was the trend of non-intervention in African, in that domestic black population of the US would be outraged if we didn't do something.

Do you think if we intervened that would have carried weight?

Mr. Tweedy. If what you mean by intervene is try to run the African affairs, ghen I think I would agree. other aspect of this was, of course, in the early days, the fear that the bloc, Soviet bloc, would gain some kind of control over Africa. Now, in the end a lot of this proved But there was no way in the world you could prove that in 1959. It was felt, I think, that those young, unsophisticated African States would be sort of sitting ducks. They didn't prove to be. But again it was a number of years before the series of events occurred which indicated that the Africans in their own funny way had a way of preserving their own independence -- perhaps that is the wrong word -- but their own way of doing things. And they could be just as mercurial as the next man. But the experience in the Soviet Union had with Sekou Toure in Guinea, it was like a yo-yo, they were up one minute and down the next, and they never knew from one minute to the next how they were going to be treated. there was no way in the world that the US could predict this.

And thus the Kennedy Administration's interest in Africa was certainly predicated partly on that political thing, the blacks and the black continent, but also very much the fear of the Soviet influence and the strategic leverage on the world that would give them if it really went down the drain as it were. And this of course was certainly a major interest — a combination of both those things was the major influence in our involvement in the Congo.

Ms. Pitts. Was the Congo particularly of strategic interest?

Mr. Tweedy. The Congo was the largest geographical expression in the whole sub-Sahara and Africa.

Ms. Pitts. How much merit would you give to the argument as entertained by some historians, and so on, that the Congo as a supplier of uranium was of uniwue strategic importance?

Mr. Tweedy. This was an aspect. And again not so much the uranium supply was very important to the US then, I guess there are many other sources of it now, for awhile of course it was the only source, during the war. Copper was very important, not that the US didn't have access to copper, but they did not want some hostile power to have total and free access to this enormous residual supply. So this was a factor too. But I think the most important factor was that if the Congo was going to fragment, the ripple effect in the balance of sub-Sahara and Africa was unpredictable, but likely to be very harmful. After all, a neighboring country was Nigeria.

And in those days an enormous amount of hope reposed in the orderly development of Nigeria. And it was felt that in the Congo had gone down the drain the infection might easily spread to Nigeria. And a lot of other developing countries.

And when Tsombe started to lead Katangan secession, nothing became more apparent than the fact that the Congo without Katanga was like constructing a cadillac without a gas tank.

Ms. Pitts. How about the view that it would have truly served US interests for Katanga to have ceceded and to have been under Western influence because of Tsombe --

Mr. Tweedy. We didn't believe it, believed that it should belong to the Congo. And we also felt that the effect of cutting Katanga off from Leopoldville would not only have set an example, but it would have a palpable effect on the rest of the world which would have been very difficult for the democracies to answer. As I remember it — this was nothing of a factor in any discussions I held, it may very well have been in the policy areas.

Ms. Pitts. What interests me about that aspect is that it seems that our relationship with Belgium was very important in terms of the courses of action that the US took in the Congo, and respecting the long term relationship with Belgium. And it would seem that if they had been most interested in respecting that relationship they would have favored the

secession of Katanga. But obviously there were other factors that --

Mr. Tweedy. There were other factors very much more important. I think we would have been glad to see the Belgians able to maintain a sufficient control, administrative control over the mining industry in Katanga, so that it continued to be efficiently run. But I don't think the US Government felt it owed anything particularly to the Belgian Government to see to it that they maintained their hold there at all. Let's face it, the long term relations between Belgium and the US are important, but they are not overriding.

Ms. Pitts. In terms of the relationship of political and military instability in Africa as in Communism, the increase of Communist influence in Africa, do you think if there was an automatic assumption that any political instability was unfavorable — what I am getting at is, if there hadn't been evidence, for instance, that had been receiving arms through the UAR from the USSR and that kind of thing, do you think that the US would have taken a stand of supporting Mobutu and the Central Government generally?

Mr. Tweedy. I think probably for the simple reason that we had Gizenga identified probably rightly as a radical racist African, bitterly anti-white. And we didn't believe at that point this was the kind of development in Africa that offered much solution for Africa's early days of

independence. The role of the UAR and the USSR merely put
icing on that cake, there is no question but that it did. But
in the first instance I think the worry of the US Government
was over the possible political disolution of the Congo for
African reasons, and superimposed on that came the business
of what the bloc would be likely to do, or what role they were
playing, and so on and so forth. In the first instance it
looked like it was going to fragement Africans. By that
I mean, Gizenga not so much as a Soviet pupil, but Gizenga
and Lumumba were early developments in the Congo independence
which were more likely to produce chaos, which was the last
thing one thought they needed, rather than at least a somewhat
orderly development.

Ms. Pitts. What prompts my question is that today, in 1975, the CIA justifies its action that it took on behalf of the US Government on the basis of the Soviet threat in the Congo.

Mr. Tweedy. All I am telling you is that it is a bit of both. The Soviet threat certainly became more and more a part of the general cole war worry. And of course the Soviets themselves became more and more fascinated by Africa. If we were unprepared for Africa, the Soviets were even more unprepared. But they began to move into the African scene early on. And we were very apprehensive about it. In retrospect they could have been much less apprehensive about it. But

there was no way in the world that we could have told at that point. But I would make the point, and I stick to it, that the early fears were compounded of both the Soviet Union's thing as well as the dissolution of the Congo State and the effect it would have on African Africa.

Ms. Pitts. My concern with it is that it seems as if there weren't any Soviet Influence whatsoever, we still would have been concerned with the Congo, and would probably have taken the political action that was taken to fund the Binza Group, and so on.

Mr. Tweedy. I guess, Ms. Pitts, that would be fair. It is kind of difficult to tell at this point.

Ms. Pitts. Right, because you can't speculate on something that didn't happen. But it was a very important area in Africa potentially. That was the thing, both in terms of the acreage it covered, the strategic marerials it contained, and its potential for harm or good in terms of its relatively orderly development or chaotic dissolution.

Ms. Pitts. I think it makes much more sense to have a multi-reason policy for taking covert action in an area, and I have just been sort of dismayed at the insistence at the hands of the Soviets.

Mr. Tweedy. My own conviction is that it was both.

Ms. Pitts. That seems reasonable.

Mr. Tweedy. But I would not disagree that obviously the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Soviet thing in the long haul was the most important.

Ms. Pitts. Were relationships developed with those various moderate Congolese políticionas who, after independence, became the recipients of political funding and that kind of thing?

Mr. Tweedy. I think the first Station Chief -- the previous Station Chief, whose name I have forgotten?

Ms. Pitts. Was it Mr. Devlin?

Mr. Tweedy. No.

Ms. Pitts. Before Mr. Devlin?

Mr. Tweedy. No, he was married to a nice Pan Am hostess, and he was raising a hugh family, I think he ended up with about seven children. He had already made some tentative contacts with some of the African leaders before independence. But it was a very difficult thing to do when the Belgians were in charge, you had to be very discrete. But basically all those contacts were made later.

Ms. Pitts. It seems that the strong man, as various people have named him, was clearly Mobutu from the beginning.

Mr. Tweedy. Once he got on the scene this young man did a remarkable job of establishing himself and hanging onto it. And even though there are times today when he looks to be a bit of an ass, it has been a considerable career. I knew him somewhat well. He and his wife had dined with me in my house in Chevy Chase back in about 1962, I suppose it was.

country without any question, has been much better off as a
result of him than it might have been otherwise. And now,
of course, he is becoming sort of an end of the 20th Century
African, because I think the political urgency is called for.
But I don't think it is anything that we should get very
panicky about.

Ms. Pitts. It seems that a secondary goal in the Congo, the primary goal that Congo be unified and that it be politically and militarily stable, the secondary goal is that it is possible that the kind of Government that would come to be would be a democratic government, whatever that means, an elected government, represented by a parliament or whatever, and we have a policy, it seems that the State Department would levy requirements, do this, but make sure that it keeps the prime minister in the position he is, and that kind of thing. In other words, we were allowed to fund Mobutu, but it should be made clear to him that he should seek a secondary role to the elected politicians and that kind of thing. Do you agree that that would be sort of a secondary goal?

Mr. Tweedy. I have a hard time really remembering that. Obviously the State Department didn't want to upset the very delecate democratic balance -- let's use that word, because there isn't another, that is being realistic about it. We weren't interested in building up a one man dictatorship.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And Mobutu was obviously sensible enought to realize that this wasn't a sensible way to go about it either, even though you can say that he has virtually reached that point now, or is very close to it, ane even though I guess the parliamentary trappings are still there.

Ms. Pitts. Would you agree that it was a goal at all to try to have a democratic government?

Mr. Tweedy. I think those of us who are very much involved in Africa soon became a bit realistic on democracy What we were much more looking for was stability commensurate without one man rule, but as the years went by, it was perfectly obvious that there was no way you could legislate this kind of thing, because in the early days of primitive country's development, the leaders are inevitably coming to the fore. What country in Africa can you think of today where a man hasn't been of just enormous importance to development of that country? Some of them survive. Houphuet, Obignynseng and some others, Sengh of Senegal, still survive. And they came there before Tweedy became Division Chief, and they still survive.

Now, it may not be a one man dictator organization. They are not.

Ms. Pitts. But one strong man who pretty much is the only person capable of handling the country?

Mr. Tweedy. Ahidjo in the Cameroon.

Ms. Pitts. So I would say among the people who worked	
with Africa there was no lip service to always trying to	
encourage the formation of leadership by electoral mechanism	s
and that kind of thing?	

Mr. Tweedy. I think we were realistic about it.

Ms. Pitts. I am not saying that that would have been desirable at all.

Mr. Tweedy. I don't say that -- we certainly didn't try to undermine it. But I think we and the State Department were terribly realistic about it.

Ms. Pitts. Would you say that the State Department was more interested in that kind of thing than the CIA would have been?

Mr. Tweedy. I don't think you can differentiate the two.

Our jobs were different. We didn't disagree with what the

State Department wanted for Africa. Sometimes we could

disagree over detail, not so much what was wanted but what

was practical and what could be done and what was likely to

develop, our assessments of situations quite often varied.

But that happens all over the world. And sometimes they were

right and sometimes we were right. But I don't think this was

a philosophic split at all.

Ms. Pitts. I would like to ask you a little bit about what we actually did down there, my primary interest is the introduction of the pilots and so on in 1963. And if I may

say so, it seems that though one really had no idea what their ultimate outs would be at the time that they were sent down there.

Mr. Tweedy. You are absolutely right.

Ms. Pitts. And I am wondering if at that time there was any indication that the military instability to rock the country, if you will, in 1960, would ever be coming?

Mr. Tweedy. Well, I think probably our concern was, we were after all under a mandate from the Government to keep this situation shored up.

Ms. Pitts. To keep the US involvement very low key?
I don't understand.

Mr. Tweedy. What I meant was to make sure that once again the Congo situation didn't fall apart. By this time I am prepared to say, support the Mobutu government. How, it was quite unpredictable at that point what would be required. One thing that was perfectly clear was that if we were going to have to act in a military situation fast — and I think we were probably clear that if we ever had to act at all there wouldn't be all that much lead time — it would be much too late to do anything about it. And thus I think you are quite right in saying that when the pilots firs of all got out there, their mission was uncleared, they were there as a contingency resources.

Ms. Pitts. They were brought in apparently at the request

WARD & PAUL

First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

over the initiative of the field as more of a phychological kind of operation to fly the planes around?

Mr. Tweedy. If that is the way the record emerges I wouldn't be surprised. You know we encouraged Mobutu and stiffened his spine. I expect that was true.

Ms. Pitts. But it seems as if we weren't really prepared to take on or to provide a combat capability, but as soon as some of the makings of a combat capability, that is, pilots to fly some little planes, were down there, Mobutu immediately began to press for military support. It seems shortsighted, is what I am saying, without leaning too much on the benefits of looking back, to have procided the makings of a combat capability without the intention of using it or becoming that deeply involved.

Mr. Tweedy. You know, if you want to examine those things in hindsight, all I can do is nod my hoary head and say, perhaps you are right. But somebody, I guess, is in the process of raising hell about Chile. All I am telling you is, in 1967, when the Government was looking at the possibility of a Marxist Government being freely elected south of the border in Latin America, there was a large element of the US Government that had the shivers. And there is no point in 1975 in saying they were all bloody well wrong. Maybe they were. But that is the way we frail human beings are. And when you have the security of the country as your responsibility —

and I am not talking about the Agency, I am talking about the
Government you are apt to run scared. And that is the way
life is. But to say that we did all the right things and
never made a mistake in those matters, or misassessed things,
would be nonsense. And Africa was an area where misassess-
ment was very easy to make. But I don't feel very shy about
it.

Ms. Pitts. There is no reason why you should.

Mr. Tweedy. This is the way it was. And it is very, very difficult -- it is even difficult for me now in 1975 to put myself back in the situation we were in in 1959. But that clearly was the situation we were in. And we weren't doing it just for fun and games.

Ms. Pitts. I don't think at any time I have indicated that I thought the reasons were inadequate.

Mr. Tweedy. But you see, this is the thing. You said, in retrospect it seems too bad.

Ms. Pitts. No, what I am taking issue with is whether the US was able or attempted or considered the relevant criteris to look ahead or try to look ahead in a given area and set limits on how far it would be willing to become involved along the line.

Mr. Tweedy. I am sure it did.

Ms. Pitts. And it concerns me, and it concerns the Committee, I think, given the continued capability to a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

covert action in the area, that those kinds of criteria can be taken into account. I suspect in the Congo, or it seems very obvious, that the situation was so moment to moment, our experience there was very short term, and so on, that there wasn't any ability to look forward like that, and no time.

Mr. Tweedy. That in effect is what I tried to say, that some of these assets were put together as contingency assets, for the simple reason that we knew that if certain types of situations developed there was no way that we could press buttons and have instant resources and responses to our needs.

Ms. Pitts. And this would have been the kind of thinking about the project that was being developed while you were the Division Chief also to maybe provide a mobile gendarme group?

Mr. Tweedy. Yes, I do remember that now, since you mentioned it. I had forgotten it.

Ms. Pitts. And it would have been a similar kind of thing, not knowing what might happen, that we wanted to support this Government?

Mr. Tweedy. That is right.

Ms. Pitts. And had to be ready.

Mr. Tweedy. That is right.

Ms. Pitts. I am wondering if you remember if the air team

was used in combat at all before the termination, if you can call it that, of the Katanga secession? They get involved in that?

Mr. Tweedy. Quite honestly, O don't have any idea. The record will certainly show whether it did or not. I do not remember basically any guns being fired in anger except by the pilots. But that is my recollection. I don't think it had happened before I left. I don't know how much I heard about it afterward. I don't recall that those people were ever sent into combat. But I am not saying they weren't. I just don't know.

Ms. Pitts. Would you categorize this operation as very, very small scale, and so on?

Mr. Tweedy. It is the biggest one I had ever been involved in. But I hadn't been involved in any before. If you want to compare it to other paramilitary activities, obviously it was very small. We hadn't been engaged in all that paramilitary activity. If you want to look around the globe, it is obviously on a very small scale.

Ms. Pitts. Apparently after the termination of the Katanga secession in 1963 everybody had to think about what they wanted to do with this air team. And it seems as if -- and I would like to know if this seems right in your memory -- that the CIA was not anxious at all to retain a responsibility over this pilot capability, and they certainly didn't want

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to be the air force in the Congo, and so on. And in light of that, the sponsorship, other sponsorship, non-CIA sponsorship to the air program was sought?

Mr. Tweedy. Air sponsorship --

Ms. Pitts. Sponsorship for the air program, other than the CIA. And even in some cases, I think, considering the alternative side, the sponsorship external to the US. what ultimately happened, according to the record is, no one else was willing to take on the responsibility, all the options dried up and the CIA was stuck with --

Mr. Tweedy. Stuck with the baby.

Ms. Pitts. Yes. And if this is true I think it is important, because I think that there is a misassumption that the CIA is always anxious to get involved in these covert operations. And most people I talked to seem to view it as a method of last resort. It is always less desirable to do something covertly if one can do it overtly.

Mr. Tweedy. I think that is fair enough. And I don't think our Division Chief, when the question of dissolution of the pilots came up --

Ms. Pitts. Were you the Division Chief until September 1963?

Mr. Tweedy. Yes.

Ms. Pitts. This was going on -- the consideration of the sponsorship of the air program was being considered in

24

.25

2		
+c (20:	1	the spring
pa (V)	2	Mr. Tweedy. Spring of 1963?
	3	Ms. Pitts. And it was resolved in April of 1963.
	4	Mr. Tweedy. April of 1963?
	5	Ms. Pitts. Yes that the CIA would continue to fund
	6	it and a separate project was set up for it.
1	7	Mr. Tweedy. Yes.
	8	Ms. Pitts. And I think it had been funded
	9	Mr. Tweedy. Were the pilots out of the Congo by then?
	10	Ms. Pitts. No, they stayed the whole time, they didn't
	11	run.
WARDSTRUCK	12	Mr. Tweedy. That is what I meant. They stayed.
WARD	13	Ms. Pitts. And I am not sure if they were used. I think
	14	they continued to train, because there was obviously a need
	15	for a policy decision
	16	Mr. Tweedy. I think they were used after I left the
	17	Division, but there is the vaguest possible recollection in
E., Washington, D.C. 20003	18	my mind.
	19	Ms. Pitts. Yes, they were. But in this period of tome
igfon, £	20	in 1963 when, one, there wasn't the Katarga secession
., Washir	21	necessitating their use, but you weren't sure if you wanted,
ω	22	I think to get rid of this genehility. And there was sort

of a long delay, as the options were tried out. one option was -- or one alternative of the CIA sponsorship that was tried -- was that the US AID would provide the

funding to the Government of the Congo, and the Government of the Congo would then be able to hire the pilots on their own, and that kind of thing, and would get us out of the covert area altogether. And apparently Harlan Cleveland was an advocate of this position. And I am wondering if you remember why their attempt —

Mr. Tweedy. Was he at that time the Assistant Secretary of State for International Organization Affairs?

Ms. Pitts. Yes. I am wondering if you recall why this alternative of overt US Government sponsorship through AID for the air program was not a viable one?

Mr. Tweedy. I can't recall.

Ms. Pitts. Do you remember these options being considered?

Mr. Tweedy. I can't.

Ms. Pitts. That is understandable.

Mr. Tweedy. I really don't. I suspect one of the reasons was that somehow this didn't really meet normal AID criteria.

Ms. Pitts. I have a question that has to do with the Congo today. And that is, what do you think the consequence of the publication of US involvement with Mobutu from his early years would be today? Do you think it would discredit him immeasurably in the African community or anything like that?

Mr. Tweedy. I think it might indeed. I think it would

be irresponsible to publish it, I really do. A Chief of State
He was never our agent. I have made that point under oath.
We had very close relationships with him, and not only just
us, a series of ambassadors have had very close relationships
with him. Clare Timberlake in the early days was a first
class Ambassador, and Mobutu thought the world of him. But
to stress at this point this kind of relationship, if I may
say so, is the sort of silly thing that the US shouldn't be
doing. It just doesn't make any sense. I don't know what the
consequences would be for him personally, but there are a lot
of other things involved. There are a lot of Americans that
live in the Congo. And his political directions could well
be affected by this. You can't put a crystal ball on what
the results would be. But to say it quite flatly, I think
it is a breach of faith.

Ms. Pitts. You mean a breach of Mobutu's faith in the US?

Mr. Tweedy. I mean in the relationship that we had with him over the years irrespective of what it may be today.

Obviously it is quite different today from what it was in 1960. And I think it would be the height of irresponsibility. And I see no excuse for it -- which is one of the reasons why basically things like government files and foreign policy, this's and that's, are not published until after a certain statute of limitations runs out. This is the sort of basic

I

philosophy which is what I call normal, well ordered, human, responsible, human relations.

Ms. Pitts. I appreciate your opinion.

Mr. Tweedy. I hold it very strongly.

Ms. Pitts. Thank you for your time.

Mr. Tweedy. That is fine.

Ms. Pitts. Off the record.

(Off the record discussion.)

Mr. Maxwell. Mr. Tweedy, what I would like to ask you about if possible is the operational use of drugs by the Agency, either proposed use or actual use. Let me try to outline for you the state of the evidence at this point.

apparently drugs, including LSD and Meretran, Were used relatively frequently for interrogation purposes throughout the fifties and into the sixties. In 1957 and 1958 the Agency began, under the auspices of Mr. Helms, who was at that time Chief of Operations in DDP, an analysis of policies and procedures to be used in regard to drugs. And what we were most interested in is that the policies and procedures that were set up to govern proposals in the DDP for such use, and operational use that flowed from that. I have with me a series of memos that were done at that time on policies and procedures, and one case that mentions a particular use that does not involve the African Division, but which raised some questions that we might discuss. And if you would like to

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

look over the memos --

Mr. Tweedy. Yes, because at the moment I have the hardest time remembering them.

Of course at that point I was in London.

Mr. Maxwell. There is no indication that you were

Mr. Tweedy. Of course I may not even have seen the memo.

Mr. Maxwell. You were in London from when to when?

Mr. Tweedy. 1956 to 1959.

Mr. Maxwell. And you came back to Head the Africa

Mr. Tweedy. I did.

Mr. Maxwell. The only reason for looking at those is that they may in fact refresh your recollection as to the discussions that were going on in the Agency, or that you might have become aware of.

Mr. Tweedy. Sitting in London, a non-operational station, strictly, it would be just the sort of thing that would go right over the top of our heads and we would never hear about. For example, I think I would be almost willing to swear that I have never seen this memorandum. And it has got some very interesting stuff in it here from the viewpoint of the Medical Staff and so forth.

Mr. Maxwell. That is quite likely. The routing slips would not reflect those materials?

Mr. Tweedy. They wouldn't have gone to a station like mine, they might have gone to some station, but not one like mine.

Mr. Maxwell. I think those internal policy papers probably went to no stations.

Mr. Tweedy. That is very possible, because what the case was, the divisions would have been instructed, and in terms of their normal discipline over stations they would have controlled the use that way without, as it were, involving the stations in the bureaucracy of memoranda of this kind, which, among other things, might be considered overly sensitive to repose in the station.

Mr. Maxwell. But the last memorandum, Which is a memorandum in draft form for the Chief of Operations on the subject of the operational use of chemical agents, proposed a committee which would pass on the use of drugs and also proposed that anycables coming in from stations which concerned proposals for such use be routed to this committee.

Mr. Tweedy. I am sure I have never seen this draft.

Mr. Maxwell. Are you aware of any policy decision on the part of the Agency to institute such a committee, and if so --

Mr. Tweedy. The Committee itself means nothing to me, which merely means that iether I have totally forgotten it or I never knew about the committee. It never occurred to me at that stage of the Agency development, i.e., say, about

the	time	that	Ic	ame	back	from	Londo	n, th	nat	the	use	of	drugs
was	not	under	str	ict	conti	cols.	But :	if yo	u a	re a	skir	ng n	ne .
whet	her	I can	res	urre	ct tl	ne na	ture of	E tho	se	cont	rols	3, E	either
by a	COM	mittee	e or	thi	s mer	morano	lum or	the	res	t of	it,	, I	just
don'	t re	call.											

Mr. Maxwell. Do you recall what you would have done if a proposal from a station in Africa came to you which involved the use of drugs, chemical or biological agents?

Mr. Tweedy. It would have been quite routine. One would have cleared it through DDP, or the thing would have been discussed with the DDP. It would have just automatically have happened. It never did result that I heard.

Mr. Maxwell. Did the DDP or the Chief of Operations?

Mr. Tweedy. His office would have been aware of it.

Mr. Maxwell. Do you recall any Agency regulations -there were a series of regulations that existed from 1952
on and which were replaced by a regulation known as CSI 220-10
governing what were called MK DELTA materials.

Mr. Tweedy. I am sure I saw those, I can't recall them now, but I am sure I saw them. I tell you, as so many things were in the Agency, which were governed by rules and the rest of it, you didn't have to refer to the book to do things, it automatically happened. Obviously if I was running the Africa Division or the Eastern European Division and something like this came up, I didn't have a pile of those things in

sale and just say, go ahead and do it. Even if I had been
so ignorant that I had just gone to TSD and said, how about
this the system at that point starts to work. Obviously
at that stage I wasn't ignorant. All I am saying is that I
don't remember. But discipline of this kind was just part of
the system. And it never occurred to me to go off half
cocked on my own. I didn't have the capability to do it.
The system wouldn't have permitted it. To do these things,
they have to be administered, you have to get access to them,
all this has to be done. And by that time the controls were
at work, even if I had been so ignorant a Division Chief
that wouldn't have gone through the proper procedures.

Mr. Maxwell. I understand that. That is part of what we are trying to do in the absence of --

Mr. Tweedy. But it never came up anytime I was a Division Chief in either of my two Division Chief jobs.

Mr. Maxwell. For the record, your second Division Chief job was --

Mr. Tweedy. EE, Eastern Europe, which in effect was Central and Eastern Europe, and Greece and Cyprus in those days.

Mr. Maxwell. From when to when?

Mr. Tweedy. September 1963 to I think the first of June 1966.

Mr. Maxwell. Do you have any independent recollection

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Tweedy. No, I don't. Mr. Maxwell. That is not clear.

of a committee known as the Drug Committee?

Mr. Maxwell. And this Committee would have consisted of the Deputy Chief of Counterintelligence, a representative from FI, a representative from TSD, a representative from the Office of Security, and would have made recommendations to --

Mr. Tweedy. And a representative from Medical Staff?

Mr. Tweedy. I merely noticed from that draft that this was accommodated but I don't recall the Committee, no.

Mr. Maxwell. In a later occurrance, a proposal came from the Acting Chief of the Near East and South Asia Division for what was described as a "health alteration operation", and was proposed -- and sought the approval for the "health alteration committee" in 1960.

Mr. Tweedy. I never would have heard of it. Compartmentation on something like that just plain works, and I just never would have heard of that.

Mr. Maxwell. Compartmentation -- perhaps you can explain what you mean by compartmentation in this situation?

Mr. Tweedy. What I mean is, this was the business of the Near East Division.

Mr. Maxwell. The Health Alteration Committee was not the business of the Near East Division.

Mr. Tweedy. I thought you were raising with me the case

of this bloke, whoever he was, whose health was to be altered.

Mr. Maxwell. No, I was raising the question only in the context of --

Mr. Tweedy. I could not recall ever having heard of the change of health committee, frankly. And I am rather diverted by the name.

Mr. Maxwell. The Division was interested at the very least in an institutional committee.

Mr. Tweedy. Yes. But I do not recall it. But I am not at all saying that I never heard of it. I certainly don't remember it. And probably the reason I don't recall it is that I never in fact had any intercourse with it, is probably the answer. Because I can only assume that it wasn't active very often. And if it was active on behalf of another Division, I wouldn't have heard of its workings, obviously if it had been an operation which was business in another Division I wouldn't have heard of it.

Mr. Maxwell. And you have no recollection of proposals coming in for the operational use of drugs, chemicals or biological agents which would be referred to it?

Mr. Tweedy. I have no recollection of that at all during the time that I was Division Chief in Eastern Europe.

Mr. Maxwell. Do you have any recollection of any issuance which came to you as Chief of the Africa Division which

indicated that cable traffic on this subject would be referred to another body for consideration?

Mr. Tweedy. I don't have any recollection of it. But there is no reason why the African Division should have been immune to this, and I am sure I probably was in receipt of the instruction, which must have been sent to all Division Chiefs. But I can't remember it, that is all. But I am sure I must have seen it if it was issued.

Mr. Maxwell. That is all that I had. And I appreciate your cooperation.

Mr. Tweedy. I am sorry that I wasn't more helpful.

(Whereupon, at 12:00 noon, the interview was concluded.)